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7 to consider possible changes, and other options, in response to Resolution 86 (Rev. Marrakesh, 2002) of the Plenipotentiary Conference, an advance publication, coordination, notification and recording procedures for frequency assignments pertaining to satellite networks, in accordance with Resolution **86 (Rev.WRC-07)**, in order to facilitate rational, efficient and economical use of radio frequencies and any associated orbits, including the geostationary-satellite orbit;

7(G) Issue G - Updating the reference situation in Regions 1 and 3 networks under RR Appendices 30 and 30A when provisionally recorded assignments are converted into definitive recorded assignments

Introduction

The issue of updating the reference situation for Regions 1 and 3 networks under RR Appendices **30** and **30A** when provisionally recorded assignments are converted into definitive assignments was first raised during the CPM15-2 meeting and has been studied since WRC-15 within CEPT and ITU-R.

§ 4.1.18*bis* prescribes that when entering assignments of a network provisionally into the List, the reference situation of interfered-with networks with which coordination is not completed is not updated. However, RR Appendices **30** and **30A** currently do not state whether or not the Bureau should update the reference situation of the network with which there is still outstanding disagreement if the provisionally recorded assignments are changed to definitively recorded, and the Bureau has never been faced with such a situation.

To avoid administrations receiving a reduced protection due to a network to which they have not given their agreement, this method prescribes that when a network has entered into the List using § 4.1.18, and when the recording of the associated assignment transitions from provisional to definitive while there is still disagreement, the reference situation of the interfered-with network should be updated in consultation with, and only with the agreement of, the affected administration. To this effect, this method proposes to modify § 4.1.18*bis* of RR Appendices **30** and **30A**, and is in line with Method G1 included in the CPM Report.

Proposals

APPENDIX 30 (REV.WRC‑15)\*

Provisions for all services and associated Plans and List1 for  
the broadcasting-satellite service in the frequency bands  
11.7-12.2 GHz (in Region 3), 11.7-12.5 GHz (in Region 1)  
         and 12.2-12.7 GHz (in Region 2)    (WRC‑03)

ARTICLE 4     (Rev.WRC‑15)

Procedures for modifications to the Region 2 Plan or   
for additional uses in Regions 1 and 33

## 4.1 Provisions applicable to Regions 1 and 3

MOD EUR/XXXA19A7/1

4.1.18*bis* When requesting the application of § 4.1.18, the notifying administration shall undertake to meet the requirements of § 4.1.20 and provide to the administration in respect of which § 4.1.18 is applied, with a copy to the Bureau, a description of the steps by which it undertakes to meet these requirements. Once an assignment is entered in the List provisionally under the provisions of § 4.1.18, the calculation of the equivalent protection margin (EPM)[[1]](#footnote-1)9 of an assignment in the Regions 1 and 3 List or for which the procedure of Article 4 has been initiated and which was the basis for the disagreement shall not take into account the interference produced by the assignment for which the provisions of § 4.1.18 have been applied. When the recording of an assignment entered into the List is changed from provisional to definitive in accordance with § 4.1.18, but there is still continuing disagreement between the administrations, the Bureau will consult with the administration responsible for the assignments which were the basis for the disagreement and will only update the EPM to take into account interference produced by the assignment for which the provisions of § 4.1.18 have been applied with the agreement of the administration responsible for the assignments which were the basis for the disagreement.     (WRC‑19)

**Reasons:** To avoid administrations receiving a reduced protection due to a network to which they have not given their agreement, § 4.1.18*bis* of RR Appendix **30** should be modified.

APPENDIX 30A (REV.WRC‑15)\*

Provisions and associated Plans and List1 for feeder links for the broadcasting-satellite service (11.7-12.5 GHz in Region 1, 12.2-12.7 GHz  
in Region 2 and 11.7-12.2 GHz in Region 3) in the frequency bands  
14.5-14.8 GHz2 and 17.3-18.1 GHz in Regions 1 and 3,  
and 17.3-17.8 GHz in Region 2     (WRC‑03)

ARTICLE 4     (Rev.WRC‑15)

Procedures for modifications to the Region 2 feeder-link Plan   
or for additional uses in Regions 1 and 3

## 4.1 Provisions applicable to Regions 1 and 3

MOD EUR/XXXA19A7/2

4.1.18*bis* When requesting the application of § 4.1.18, the notifying administration shall undertake to meet the requirements of § 4.1.20 and provide to the administration in respect of which § 4.1.18 is applied, with a copy to the Bureau, a description of the steps by which it undertakes to meet these requirements. Once an assignment is entered in the feeder-link List provisionally under the provisions of § 4.1.18, the calculation of the equivalent protection margin (EPM)[[2]](#footnote-2)11 of an assignment in the Regions 1 and 3 feeder-link List or for which the procedure of Article 4 has been initiated and which was the basis for the disagreement shall not take into account interference produced by the assignment for which the provisions of § 4.1.18 have been applied. When the recording of an assignment entered into the List is changed from provisional to definitive in accordance with § 4.1.18, but there is still continuing disagreement between the administrations, the Bureau will consult with the administration responsible for the assignments which were the basis for the disagreement and will only update the EPM to take into account interference produced by the assignment for which the provisions of § 4.1.18 have been applied with the agreement of the administration responsible for the assignments which were the basis for the disagreement.     (WRC‑19)

**Reasons:** To avoid administrations receiving a reduced protection due to a network to which they have not given their agreement, § 4.1.18*bis* of RR Appendix **30A** should be modified.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. 9 For the definition of EPM, see § 3.4 of Annex 5.     (WRC‑03) [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. 11 For the definition of the EPM, see § 1.7 of Annex 3.     (WRC‑03) [↑](#footnote-ref-2)